

Memorandum No 13 d. 88/89,

From,

The Nawab Sahib,

Savannur,

To,

The Acting Collector and
Political Agent Dharwad,

Savannur Nawab Sahib's office

30th of January 1889,

Sir

I have the honor to give you
a short account of the Yadi of
Abdul Shamsuddin Khan Son of Abdul
Dilawar Khan Khanjada Savannur,
to your address, which you have been
pleased to forward to me for disposal

The petitioner says that he
asked the District Magistrate of this
place, who sentenced him to pay

a fine of Rupees 10-12-0, in a criminal case, to furnish him with a copy of the judgment but that he refused to do so.

Reference having been made to the said Magistrate about the petition, he writes as follows.

"Mardan saheb Kamal Bangadi an inhabitant of Savanur applied against the petitioner accusing him under Sections 323 and 504 of the Indian Penal Code. In a summary Trial held by me, the petitioner was convicted and was therefore sentenced to pay a fine of Rupees 10-12-0. He has not applied to me nor asked me for a copy of the judgment and therefore it has not been given to him. There is no

objection whatsoever, to furnish him with a certified copy, if he applies in accordance with the rules in force for furnishing certified copies. In his deposition before me about this petition, the petitioner said at first that he did not ask for the copy and again feigning to refresh his memory said that he asked for the copy but that I refused to furnish him with it and that he could produce no evidence in support of his statement. I leave it to you to judge in accordance with our merits which of us is right.

It seems to me that the Magistrate might never have refused to furnish him with a copy of the

judgment, there being no reason.
whatsoever to do so.

I think that the petitioner
makes this false petitions, being angry
with the magistrate for having fined
him.

I have submitted these few
lines with the view that you may
be acquainted with the character of
the petitioner and with the fact that
he often troubles you and me with
such false petitions.

I have the honor to be

Sir
your sincere friend

Abdur Rahman
Kawab Sahib of Sarawar.

Recd
8/1/94.

[Gen. 35. c.
2

No. 41 of 1894.

DEPARTMENT.

Bhanwar Collector's Office,
4 January 1894

MEMORANDUM.

No 6601 dated 16th Nov. /93. for-
warding for report application
with enclosures of Mr. Abdul
Shamsuddin Khan son of
Abdul Bilavakkhan.

Requests an early
reply to this Office
reference noted in
the margin.

Abdunnigah

To

THE Assistant Political
Agent
Savann

Collector. *Wole*
agruh

No 40 of 1894.

Dhauwa 6th January 1894

Forwarded in original to the Secy of
Savannu for early compliance in conti-
- nuation of this office no. $\frac{1624}{16-11-93}$.

AlBenn.
Assistant Political
Agent, Savannu.

To
The Right Honourable
The Governor & President
in Council
Political Department
Bombay.

His Excellency,
The Right Honourable
The Governor & President
in Council
Political Department
Bombay.

The humble petition of Abdul
Shanisuddin Khan Son of
Abdul Dilavar Khan Brother
Nawab of Surapur in the
District of Dharam.

Most Respectedly Sheweth:—

That your humble petitioner prays to draw
your Excellency's kind attention to the following
few lines.

Junior Nawab Khair Khan
(your Excellency's petitioner's cousin) died and his
son, Barkhadar junior Nawab Daul Khan
was under minority and the Political Agent
was the guardian & administrator. At that time
the Political Agent used to confer with your
Excellency's petitioner in the administration of
the state affairs, now he had sent your Excellency's
petitioner with the Nawab to Rajkot. Your Excellency's
petitioner was appointed the Panch between the
Nawab of Surapur and the Nawab of Rajkot.

at the time of marriage of the sister of junior
Dale Khan with the Nawab of Jangera. Your
Excellency's petitioner was given the charge of
administering the ~~hazial~~ ceremonies of junior
Nawab of Dale Khan and his wife according
to the family custom. Your Excellency's petitioner
was also appointed ~~panch~~ ^{panch} in the State alms
and a Commissioner in the ~~Ravampur State~~
municipality. Besides your Excellency's petitioner
used to get something as a reward from the
State according to your Excellency's petitioner's
position whenever a marriage used to take
place in their family. They used to receive help
of every sort from the State through the Political
Agent whenever a marriage or other affair
used to take place. Your Excellency's petitioner's
pattar was sent to the Viceregal Darbar by the
State at its expense for honour in the family.
The Political Agent used to give them loans
from the State with an interest at Government
rate percent whenever they wanted the Divan
Bahadur in order to lower me in the estimation
of Political Agents and the Hind Government,
has maliciously taken away all the authorities
so long invested with the applicant by falsely
persuading the Political Agents. Your Excellency
would judge his illtreatment to me from

from his lately finding me unjustly in two
criminal cases. Previously all the civil
and criminal cases affecting your Excellency's
petitioner and others of the family were
decided by the Political Agents as there was
amity between the applicant and the previous
Mauat. But the Dewan has maliciously
taken the authority of disposing of the cases
upon himself and has ^{been} causing many
annoyances to the applicant. As there is
a rule that Dewan's State servants and
pensioners should be helped by loans
from the State treasury the Dewan has
been given a loan of Rs 1000. Your
Excellency's petitioner does not know why
the Political Agent ~~the~~ under the mis-
guidance of the Dewan should not help
Your Excellency's petitioner in this critical
position of the petitioner's grand daughter's
marriage.

Under these circumstances your
Excellency's humble petitioner begs that the
cases in which fines are inflicted upon
the applicant, should be brought before

before your Excellency and their prices
- redeemed and that Cases either civil or
Criminal in which your Excellency's petitioner
is concerned should be ordered to be disposed
by the Political Agents that your Excellency's
petitioner should be given a reward according
to the family - custom in marriage at present,
as will be seen from the Political Agents
No 18 dated 5th September 1870 and that his son
who has been educated should be employed
by the State and that your Excellency's petitioner
should be given a loan the payment of
which will be made from your Excellency's
petitioner's allowance and that he should
be given again the services of the washerman
who has been taken away from the applicant,
that the applicant should be again given
in the offices of class giving &c, and your
Excellency's petitioner should be consulted
in the administration of the state according
to previous customs.

The orders inflicting
fines are herewith appended for favourable
consideration.

Shurwar } Signed Shamsuddin Khan
11th November }
1893 }

under letter No. 11 dated 11 Nov 1893

To be returned } From Mr. Abdul Shamsuddin
with enclosures } Khan son of Abdul Dilawar

Subject } No. 6601 case of ^{Khan} Sharwar
Praying for certain } 16th November 87/3
concessions and } Forwarded for report to
laying certain } The Asst. Political Agent
grievances before } Savaune
Govt.

To be accompanied (sd) A. Wingate
by papers in the case Political Agent

No. 1624 of 1893

Copy Sharwar 20th November 1893

Forwarded in original to the
bureau of Savaune for report
together with all the papers
mentioned in Mr. Shamsuddin Khan
application.

(sd) A. R. Bonas
To be returned Asst. Political Agent

Recd Savaune
22/11/93

At 20 of 1893-94

Savanur 25th January 1894

Returned with compliments to the Asst.

Political agent Savanur.

The praying for certain concessions and laying certain grievances before Government are as follows.

1. ~~All~~ In two criminal cases fines have been inflicted upon him and the fines should be redeemed.
2. All the cases in which the petitioner is concerned should be disposed of by the Political agent.
3. He should be given a reward from the state treasury according to the family custom at the time of their marriages.
4. His education should be employed by the state.

5th He should be given a loan from the state
the payment of which, will be made from his
allowance.

6th He should be given again, the services of the
washerman who has been taken away from him

7th He should be given again the offices of
almshouse in the state

8th He should be consulted in the administration
of the state according to previous custom

Now I have the honour to answer each of the
above as follow -

For the 1st.

The applicant was fined twice in two
criminal cases he was concerned in, once by
the late Dewan Rao Bahadur Gaurao Krishna
and the second time by me. The papers

connected with calendar No $\frac{9}{1889}$ and $\frac{42}{1891}$
have been herewith, appended for perusal

The applicant had to appeal to the higher
court upon the decision of this court with
in the fixed time; but he petitioned

to the Political agent to have his fines redeemed

The Political agent, after proper consideration
over the matter, expressed in his letter ^{No 422}
4-5-93
that he could not interfere with the proceedings
in which fines have been legally inflicted.

The remainder correspondence concerning the
order forwarded through you to this office has
been returned to your office with a report ^{No 155}
9-5-93

And

The applicant's father and ~~uncle~~ Abdul
Sulawar Khan and uncle Abdul Sawal Khan
held the office of distributing the allowance of
Zangadhes of the great Mahal until the end
of July 1859, on which they have consented to
hand over this office to the then Nawab in
the presence of Mr Gordon the then ^{1st} ~~2nd~~ ^{Asst}
Collector Sharwar, on condition of being
paid £100 more in addition to their former
allowance of Rs 1100 from the state purses

Moreover they have bound themselves and their
descendants to appear personally or by ^{valued}
bondsmen before the court of this state either in
civil or criminal cases in which they are concerned.

The copy of the agreement has been herewith
^{appended}
~~attached~~ (marked c). Since that time every
civil and criminal case in which the applicant
and his brothers are concerned, has been disposed
of by this court.

Such being the case the petitioner
wishes the transfer of this authority (which is
groundless, perhaps with the intention of
preventing his unjustifiable actions from
being brought to the public and of appearing
vain gloriously in the public, as being beyond
the powers of the officials of this state.
I beg to leave all these at your disposal
to decide. —

3rd

by the same agreement (marked c)

the applicants father and uncle with their
descendants have lost (except their hereditary
allowance of Rs 300) all their claims to any
additional help of money at the time of marriage
and births, ~~and~~ may they have lost their claims
upon the state properties such as -- State village,
cash profits of land, precious ornaments,
door-keepers, carriage, tent, and mango grove;
and further still they and their descendants
are bound not to put forth any claims upon
the state properties in future contrary to this agreement.

From this it is manifest that the demand
of this applicant is rendered null and void
by the ~~same~~ agreement. So the applicant
has no right whatsoever to ^{make} any additional
demand and the state is not bound to satisfy
it

^{1st} The applicant petitioned to the Political
Agent on 29th June 1893 requesting that his
son should be employed in the British

territory; but the Political agent refused to do so.
The order given to the petitioner has been appended
to his petition.

As to the employment of this state his
son may be given a post equal to his honour;
but the applicant's son has a close relation with
the state, he should be employed in such a
manner by which he may not be able to
meddle with the state records.

5th The applicant had petitioned to the
Political agent for a loan of Rs 1200 from the
state; but the Political agent refused to
give it on the ground the applicant was
already in debt to the state. The order No. $\frac{323}{17-3-93}$
given to the applicant has been appended
by him to his petition. The vernacular
corroboration concerning this forwarded
through your office at No. $\frac{419}{20-3-93}$ has been
returned to your office with No. $\frac{59}{24-3-93}$

being
of this office, for record. Upon which the
applicant made a second application
on 29th-6-93 which also received the same
answer. This order also no. $\frac{32}{14-8-93}$ has been
appended by the applicant to his application.
The correspondence concerning this forwarded
through your office no. $\frac{54}{22-8-93}$ has been
returned to your office with no. $\frac{25}{27-9-93}$

The petitioner says in his application
that the Dewan was given a loan of Rs 1000
according to the rule by which State
servants and pensioners are helped from
the state treasury, and that he does not
know why the Political Agent and the
misguidance of the Dewan should not
help him at the time of his grand
daughter's marriage.

There is no established rule in the
state to help its servants and pensioners

with loans without interest, but it solely rests
on the choice of the ruler of the state. The late
Kawab Abdul Tubreyhan gave loans of
money, taking proper security to servants
and penidors at some rate of interest and
some without interest to those whom he wished
to favour. In the same manner I was
favoured with a loan of Rs 500 and not
of Rs 1000 as the applicant says, which was
^{up} paid in a few days.

Samal Bibi, wife of Abdul Latifhan
son of Abdul Tubreyhan elder brother of
the applicant applied to the Political agent
for a loan of Rs 700 from the state, for which
I gave my opinions favourably to the applicant
but the Political agent refused to give it
seeing that the allowance which was intended
to make up the loan was not in the name
of the applicant, but in that of his minor
son Fayazhan

Such being the true state of things ~~that~~
what the applicant says that the Political
agent did all this under the mis-guidance
of the Dewan, is quite contrary to the truth
~~with~~ which will be clear from the report made
on the occasion. In short the applicant
has no right to claim such loans from the
state, as it solely depends on the will of the
Giver. I therefore request that the applicant
should be ordered not to ~~make~~ make such
false statements in future again and again.
6th In the 2nd para of the agreement made
by the Nawab on 2nd July 1859 in the presence
of Mr Gordon it is stated - that Nawab
and his descendants are bound to continue
the services of a Hafiz, a washerman, a
patkali and a Shanghe to the family
of the applicants father and uncle
Accordingly the services of the above

said servants are still continued as usual
The washerman is washing the clothes in his
usual way as is stated in the agreement.

The applicant applied to the Political Agent
to order the washerman to iron his clothes, which
the washerman refused to do, as the custom
of ironing the clothes was not in prevalence
at the time the agreement was made. The

Political Agent after receiving full information
answered replied in his letter No 53
29-8-93

that he could not do any thing more in the
matter. The remainder correspondence
forwarded through your office No. 101
9-9-93

has been herewith, appended for perusal
(marked D)

7th The applicant was some years ago
a member in the Municipality and a member
of the Mussulman Mort-gues; but his name
had been removed from both the offices

previous to my appointment to this place.

Then he laid a complaint before the Political agent to regain the offices, who expressed in his order No 422 4-5-93 that he could not interfere with the Chawab proceedings. This order has been appended by the applicant to his application.

8th.
Government has appointed proper officials for the management of the state to conduct the state affairs according to the orders of their superiors. Such being the case I do not understand why should the applicant be consulted in the state affairs.

Besides the above mentioned points the applicant further says in his petition that the Dewan, in order to lower him in the estimation of the Political agent, has maliciously taken away all the

authorities so long invested with him, by
falsely persuading the Political agents to

The fact is that he had not any of the
state authorities when I came to this place
on 1st June 1891 as a Dewan of this state.
But he was a prince in the municipality
and Musalmani temples, from which he
he had been reduced before long by the
late Nawab. From this you may kindly
consider what false statements had I
made to persuade in order to take away the
authorities of so long invested with this
applicant.

By order of
Dewan, Pawan

Savanur.

Petition from Abdul Shamsuddin Khan,

son of Abdul Dilawarkhan of —.

No. 3875.

Political Department,

Bombay City, 26th June 1894.

Memorandum from The Commissioner, S.D., No. 16, dated

The 9th June 1894 - submitting to government, for orders,

a memorandum from the collector and Political Agent,

Bharwar, No. 3694, dated The 5th June 1894, who forwards

a petition from Abdul Shamsuddin Khan, son of Abdul

Dilawarkhan of Savanur, dated The 11th November

1893, in which he prays that - (1) The fines inflicted upon

him in two criminal cases may be remitted; (2) that

all cases, civil or criminal, in which petitioner is

concerned, should be disposed of by the Political Agent;

(3) that - The petitioner should be given rewards or loans

on occasions; (4) that his son should be employed

by the State; (5) that - The services of a washerman

should be restored to him; (6) That the office of
alms-giving, should be restored to the petitioner; (7)

That the petitioner should be consulted in the admini-
stration of the state; and makes remarks on the

several requests made by the petitioner, stating that

he (The Commissioner) concurs in the views expressed
by the Political Agent in the case.

Resolution— The request that the petitioner should
be consulted by Government in their responsible
duties of managing the petty state of savanur during
the minority indicates pretensions which should
not be encouraged. The Political Agent has
reported fully on all points, and Government see
no reason to interfere.

W. Lee Warner,
Secretary to Government.

To,
The Commissioner, S.D.,

The Collector and Political Agent Bhamur
Note— The petitioner has been referred to the collector

and Political Agent, who will communicate
to him the purpose of the orders of Government.

No 4343 Bharwar.

2nd July 1894.

Copy forwarded for information to the Assistant
Political Agent, Bharwar.

H. G. Harris
Head Clerk
for Polt. Agent.

No 1282 of 1894.

Camp Bharwar 4th July 1894.

Forwarded for information & Return.

A. R. Bonus
A. P. A. Bharwar.

No 96 of 1893-94.

Savarnu Diwan's office,


July 1894.

Returned to the Assistant Political Agent

Savarnu with the compliments of the Diwan

of savanur.

emormy



biwan savanur.

Subject.
Petition of
Abdul Sham
Suddikhan
of Savant.

No 1; Dharwar -
November/94.

Memo:- B.

The accompanying vet.
correspondence received with the -
Political Agents Memo no 7986 dated
31st October/94 is herewith returned
to the Dewan of Savant with reference
to this office endorsement no 1282
dated 4th July 1894.

29/12/10

Minister
Assistant Political
Agent. Savant.

29/12/10

29/12/10

Thamsuddin Khan should be informed

I have no jurisdiction to order any
attachments on his property for his debts
to be removed: if he cannot pay his
debts he may seek relief in bankruptcy
proceedings: I am not sure to what
extent he can avail himself of the law
for relief of insolvent debtors: it is not
my province to deal with such matters:
but I could not lend any countenance
to the designs he seems to have of escaping
from his lawful debts on plea of birth
and relationship to the Nawab.

13-6-98:

pd/ J. Anderson

True copy

a.p.a.

၁၉၄၈ ခုနှစ် ဇူလိုင်လ ၁၀ ရက်နေ့
 ဦးစီးကြီး ဦးစိုးဝင်း - ၁၉၄၈ ခုနှစ် ဇူလိုင်လ ၁၀ ရက်နေ့

೧. ೧೦೦ ರೂಪಾಯಿ
 ೨. ೨೦೦ ರೂಪಾಯಿ
 ೩. ೩೦೦ ರೂಪಾಯಿ
 ೪. ೪೦೦ ರೂಪಾಯಿ
 ೫. ೫೦೦ ರೂಪಾಯಿ
 ೬. ೬೦೦ ರೂಪಾಯಿ
 ೭. ೭೦೦ ರೂಪಾಯಿ
 ೮. ೮೦೦ ರೂಪಾಯಿ
 ೯. ೯೦೦ ರೂಪಾಯಿ
 ೧೦. ೧೦೦೦ ರೂಪಾಯಿ

[illegible]

೦೦೨೨ ೧೨೨ ಕಾಲದಿಂದ ದೊರೆತ ಚರಾ ಸದಾಳಿ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ಕಾಗಿ
ಬೆಳಕು ತಾಳಾ ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾದ ಚರಾ ಸದಾಳಿ-
ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ಕಾಗಿ

3. ପ୍ରାଥମିକ ପାଠ୍ୟ - ୧ ଓ ପୃଷ୍ଠା ୨ ପଢ଼ାନ୍ତୁ

~~अमृत. वि०~~

ਮਾਨਵਰਤੋਂ ਲਈ ਸਭ ਤੋਂ ਵੱਡੇ ਪੈਮਾਨੇ ਦੇ ਖੁਦਸ਼ਾਹੀ-
ਪ੍ਰਕਾਰ ਦੀਆਂ ਗਤੀਵਿਧੀਆਂ

Below A.P. Agents' No 17 dated 28 Jan 1901 forwarding the Poll Agents
No 267 of 31st Dec 1900 for compliance with
No. 29 of 1901

Khangidas

13th January 1901

To,

The assistant political agent - -

Savner State

Sharnor.

Sir,

With reference to the Vernacular
correspondence ending with your endorsement No 1
of the instant (returned herewith) I have the honor
to report that there is a provision of Rs 1000 in the
current year's budget against item No 30 for loans
to Khangidas on security of their allowances. Mr
Abdul Chanchodji Khasab ^{has been} ~~was~~ granted the sum
of Rs 815-1-11 under the Political Agent's orders contained
in his No 3459 of 28th July 1900 out of this allotment
and loans of Rs 200 & 20 ^{have been} ~~are~~ made respectively
to Hajal Khan Phatak Khan & Hajal Khan Kisaldar and

The Political Agent's Vernacular Nos. ¹⁵ 7.8.1900 & ³² 30.8.1901

The amount being debited to the same head thus ^{thus} it will be

deem that the amounts actually paid exceed the
allotment by ~~Rs 315-1-11~~ ^{Rs 315-1-11} & this except ^{which is} proposed to meet by
reappropriation from the provision of Rs 4,000 under
No 27 of outlay on plague measures. The necessary
reappropriation statement is herewith submitted.
for approval & sanction.

I have to.

R. S. M.
Director of Revenue

~~Khairabad~~ No 248 of 1905
Shiggaon 14 January 1905
From

H. C. Gibbs Esquire, LL.B., I.C.S.,
Collector & Political Agent, Shiggaon

To

The Divan of Savanur

Sir,

Hapiz Abdul Rahim Khan
brother Nawab of Savanur complains
that his gun and sword have been
attached by the Chief Constable.
The reason he assigns is that a
disturbance was created by the
grandson of his brother one Hayat Khan

Please let me know

- (1) if Hapiz Abdul Rahim Khan
has a license
- (2) if not, whether there is any
reason why he should not have
one

To 4064 of 1905. ^{Savannah}
1st Feb 1905.

The P. A.

Sir

With ref to 248 of
14th Dec - I have the honor to
reply - on the points noted therein

(1) Hapiz Abone Rahum Khan has
no license

(2) Correspondence ending with yr
Vern no - S. P. A 50 is enclosed. It
will be seen ¹⁶⁻¹¹⁻⁰⁴ ~~from~~ ^{the reasons given therein} that it is
not advisable to grant a license
to Hapiz & Rahum Khan

(3) The gun & sword with him were
attached as he had no license

The papers may ~~that~~ ^{that he acted under no}
impr wanted ^{I have H}

^{Rh}
Thro The P. A. With ref to 248

Recd.
28/11/88

Political Office

No 5134 of 1888.

From,

G. S. Forbes Esquire
1st Assistant Resident,
Hydrabad.

To, - The Collector and
Political Agent,
Dharwar.

Dated Hydrabad Resi-
-dency 21st November
1888

Sir, With reference to this
office letter No 4495 dated
17th ultimo, I am directed
to inform you that His
Highness the Nizam's
Minister states that if
a sufficiently distant
date is fixed to admit of

Abdul Ganigar Khan
and Abdul Nadim
Khan appearing before
the Nawab of Savanur,
they will be directed to
do so.

I have the honor & ca

(Signed)

For 1st Assistant
Resident

No 4673

21st November 1888.

Copy with the Poli-
tical Agents' compliments
forwarded
to the Nawab of Savanur
with a request that he
will fix a sufficiently
distant date.

H. H. H.

H. H. H. as Collector and Political
agent, Dharwar.

No 10 of 1888/89,

From,

The Nawab Sahib,

Savannur,

To,

The Acting Collector and
Political Agent Dharmwar,

Savannur Nawab Sahib's office
19th of January 1889,

Sir,

With reference to your endorsement
No 4673 dated the 27th November 1888,
I have the honor to inform you that
Abdul Ganizarkhan and Nadiunkhan
of Hyderabad should appear before
my Diwan at Savannur, on 25th April
1889, that date being sufficiently
distant they should be informed

to be present here on that day.

I have the honor to be

sir

your sincere friend

WJ

Nawab Sahib Saranur.

Political Office

N^o 1793 of 1889,

From,

G. S. Forbes Esquire
First Assistant Resident
Hyderabad,

To

The Collector and Political
Agent Dharwar,

Dated Hyderabad Residency
16th April 1889,

Sir

I am directed to refer you
to your letter N^o 491 dated January
1889, requesting that Abdul Ganiar
Khan and Abdul Nadin may be
directed to appear before the
Nawab of Savanur on the 25th April
1889. -

2—In a communication now
received from His Highness the
Nizam's Minister, it is stated
that Abdul Nadin has consented.

to appear before the Nawab on the
above date, but that Abdul Ganiyar
khan states that he cannot appear
till after the Ramzan, as he is
engaged in some cases at Hyderabad.

I have the honor to be

^{Dear}
Your most obedt servant
(sd) Major

For First Asstt Resident

No 2252

Forwarded to the Nawab of
Savannur for perusal and return

$\frac{19}{22nd}$ - 4 - 89 } (sd) H. E. Winter
Pol. Agent.

Reed
23/4/89,

No 31 of 1888/89.

Savannur Nawab Sahab's
office
24th April 1889,

Returned on perusal to the
Acting Collector and Political

Agent B. Harwar. with the compli-
-ments of the undersigned.

Mul Talwar
Nawab Sahib Savanur.